EXHIBIT 16

Dan Skerritt - FW: PCS Plus Transaction

> ----Original Message-

Page 1

SEDACTED

```
> From:
                  Doug Hutcheson [SMTP:dough@qualcomm.com]
  > Sent:
                  Monday, September 21, 1998 7:14 PM
  > To: Ferchat, Robert
  > Subject:
                  RE: PCS Plus Transaction
  >
  > Bob,
 > It is unfortunate but in light of both John's communications and efforts
 > to date it is difficult to risk our business on the basis that this
 > transaction is going to occur - I'll leave you to sort through the e-mail
 > trail. I have ask John to do two things before we dedicate any further
 > effort. First, he must engage and behave as if he is in control of
 > Magnacom. We cannot risk investing $35M in a market when one of the
 > principles of the transaction does not clearly grasp the significance of
 > this issue. Second, he must try to make us believe that he is concerned
 > that this transaction occurs. We cannot continue to struggle each step of
 > the way due to the selling party's unwillingness to be an active
 > participant. He has convinced me on both accounts at this point that his
 > personal agenda takes far more precedence then his fiduciary role.
 > I must get a market running ASAP and have difficulty believing that I
 > should risk my business in a time sensitive transaction with John's
 > continuing positions. The only basis that I can see putting any further
 > effort in this transaction is if John resolves the two issues above with
 > me, directly. I pass this to you not for action but so you know the basis
 > of the decisions that I have reached. This has been communicated with
> Harvey White as well.
> As I stated I have ask all further work on our side of the transaction to
> stop and will await John's review and thoughts to my specific concerns. If
> the transaction begins again we must find a new basis of agreement that
> revalues on what terms we go ahead given both the delays, risk, and added
> expense that has been uncovered as we have moved through this effort.
> Regarding the PCS+ employees I will continue to payroll through 30
> September at which time we may selectively hire some of the group but the
> rest will be released. GST's confidential treatment of this subject would
> be appreciated in the meantime.
> I am both disappointed and concerned that we have reached this point, and
> I do not believe that GST has been at all responsible for this result. I
> appreciate your efforts through out this process and trust that this will
> not reflect on our future business dealings, should the opportunity arise.
> For me the ball is clearly and firmly in John's court. It is up to him
> from here where we go.
```

ATER 001907
CONFIDENTIAL
EXHIBIT 7

```
> Regards,
   > Doug
  > At 11:01 AM 9/21/98 -0400, you wrote:
  > >I am available in Toronto September 26th in am or September 28th in
  > Toronto
  > >from 10am until 5pm. October 1st. in Toronto or Chicago in the pm and
  > >October 2nd in the am either Toronto or Chicago. I have a noon luncheon
  > >Toronto that day (the 2nd.). I am also available on the 3rd. until 5pm.
  > >I think it will be very difficult to get John Warta and I at the same
  > >Can you get everything settled with JW this week and then we can meet or
  > >just teleconference next week?
  > >Bob
  >>> ----Original Message--
                Doug Hutcheson [SMTP:dough@qualcomm.com]
 > >> From:
 > >> Sent:
                 Monday, September 21, 1998 10:23 AM
 > >> To: Ferchat, Robert; 'Doug Hutcheson'
 >>> Cc: 'Dan Skerritt'; 'John Warta'
 > >> Subject: RE: PCS Plus Transaction
 > >>
 > >> Bob.
 > >>
 > >> It seems that we have crossed on our communications. In your response
 > to
 > >> my
 > >> original e-mail you suggested availability on Tuesday evening after 630
 > >> and
 > >> that was when we were targeting to meet with you. We had talked with
 > Dan
 > >> Skerritt separately and he was available subject to your thoughts on
> >> attendance. John Warta has not been active for sometime, although I
> note
>>> that he is available until the 25th and then gone for a week. If John
> >> have met on Tuesday in Toronto that would have been fine or if he would
> >> engage on different terms that would equally productive. For you and I
> to
> >> have meet on Tuesday evening, after 630, for a short period would have
>>> been useful as well. With all of the above said - it appears that
> Tuesday
> >> evening is no longer available.
>>> The suggested timing was on the basis that we need/want to file with
> >> FCC next week. As far as a meeting on the West Coast next week that
> >> be fine, I am on the East coast all this week (and in an inflexible
>>> position for Wednesday, Thursday, and Friday), but would be available
```

ATER 001908

CONFIDENTIAL

```
> >> meet next week, any time except Monday and Tuesday. It appears that
 > John
 > >> is
 > >> not available and therefore we are probably pushed into the following
 >>> From our perspective this is not ideal and concerning.
 > >> I will revert to GST and John Warta as to when you would like to meet.
 > >>
 > >> Thanks,
 > >>
 > >> Doug
 > >>
 > >> At 12:18 PM 9/19/98 -0400, Ferchat, Robert wrote:
 >>> > am not available at all on Tuesday. When you talk about Dan do you
 >>> >Dan Skerritt? Is John Warta going to be here? Since I am unavailable
 >>> >Tuesday, Wednesday or Thursday why not have your session in the west
> >> >at some convenient location.
 > >> >Bob
> >> >
>>> >> Original Message----
>>> >> From: Doug Hutcheson [SMTP:dough@qualcomm.com]
>>> Sent: Friday, September 18, 1998 6:49 PM
> >> >> To:
               Ferchat, Robert; 'Doug Hutcheson'
                'Dan Skerritt'; 'John Warta'; hwhite@qualcomm.com;
> >> > Cc:
>>> >> ithorniey@qualcomm.com; damandel@qualcomm.com
>>> >> Subject: RE: PCS Plus Transaction
> >> >>
> >> >> Bob.
> >> >>
>>> >> We will arrive on mid-day Tuesday, hope to meet with John and Dan to
>>> >> to on the details and then Tuesday evening to discuss the issues and
>>> >> finalize, if possible. I will be bring Dave Mandel as well.
>>>>>
> >> >> Thanks,
> >> >>
>>> >> Doug
>>> > At 05:50 PM 9/18/98 -0400, Ferchat, Robert wrote:
>>> > The only times I have that I can open up on this short notice is
>>> Monday
>>> >> morning September 21st from say 7.30 to 11.30 or in the evening
> after
>>> >p.m. Tuesday the 22nd. is not possible nor is the 23rd. or 24th.
>>> >> you
>>> >> get here for a Sunday meeting on the 20th?
> >> >> >
```

ATER 001909 CONFIDENTIAL

```
>>> >> >> Original Message-
  >>> >> From: Doug Hutcheson [SMTP:dough@qualcomm.com]
  >>> >> Sent: Thursday, September 17, 1998 11:22 AM
  >>>>> To: Ferchat, Robert
  >>>>> Cc: hwhite@qualcomm.com; tthornley@qualcomm.com
  >>> >> Subject: PCS Plus Transaction
  > >> >> >>
  > >> >> Bob.
  > >> >> >>
  >>> >> We have not talked for a while - I hope your week of vacation at
  > >> >> beginning of the month was enjoyable.
 > >> >> >>
 >>>>> Relative to the transaction, we continue to make progress but we
 > >> have
 >>> >> hard deadlines that are approaching that we need to address. It
 > >> seems
 > >> >> that
 >>> >> most of the agreements have been flushed and that we have a short
 > >> list
 >>> >> major issues. This list includes the following principle issues -
 > >> but
 > >> >s is
 >>> >> not necessarily all inclusive:
 >>> >> 1) Leap Wireless (formerly Spinco and the owner of Cricket
 >>> >> Communications)
 >>> >> desires to make significant investments in Tucson from the time
 > we
> >> sign
 > >> >> the
>>> >> agreements (September 98) until we receive the licenses from the
> FCC
>>> >> likely February or March 99 - assuming no challenges). In the
>>> >> licenses are not transferred for actions outside of our control
> we
> >> need
> >> >> to
> >> >> discuss the disposition of this investment.
> >> >> >>
>>> >> 2) We need to minimizes the possibilities that the current
> license
> >> >> holders
>>> >> will be placed or forced into bankruptcy during the same time
> >> as
>>> >> above - therefore bring the licenses into bankruptcy court and
>>> >> eliminating
>>> >> our standing. As a subset of this issue we need to finalize on
```

ATER 001910 CONFIDENTIAL

```
> our
  > >> >> joint
  >>> >> approach to keep the assets in good standing with the FCC
  > relative
  > >> to
  > >> > the
  > >> >> payments.
  > >> >> >>
 >>> >> 3) We need to jointly discuss our approach on the GST ownership
  >>> option
  > >> >> and
  >>> >> appropriate actions with third parties.
 >>> >> 4) Leap needs to begin and/or complete our discussions with Point
  > >> Comm.
 >>> >> PCS
 >>> >> Plus, LCC, and OnCue. As a collateral issue we need PCS Plus to
 >>> >> agreement between Leap Wireless and PCS Plus LCC on the demand
 > >> letter
 > >> >> that
 >>> >> has been issued.
 > >> >> >>
 >>> >> Leap Wireless and Cricket Communications want to submit the
 > >> application
 > >> >> for
 >>> >> license transfer during the week of September 28th. I believe
>>>>> to face discussion with the relevant parties is appropriate. I
> would
> >> >> like
>>> >> to propose a meeting, in Toronto, or another agreeable location
> >> >> Monday,
>>> >> September 21st or Tuesday, the 22nd. Tuesday would be preferred
> from
> >> my
>>> >> schedule, if possible. I believe that a discussion with all of
>>> >> principles, including John Warta, would be best but amopen to
> >> thoughts
>>> >> and/or suggestions. Feel free to forward this to John and others
> as
> >> you
> >> >> feel apropriate.
> >> >>
> >> >> Thanks.
> >> >> >>
>>> >> Doug
> >> >> >
> >> >>
> >> >
> >
```

ATER 001911 CONFIDENTIAL Dan Skerritt - FW: PCS Plus Transaction

Page 6

ATER 001912 CONFIDENTIAL

Page 1

From:

"Ferchat, Robert" <rafercha@Mobility.com>

To: Date: 'Doug Hutcheson' <dough@qualcomm.com>

Subject:

Tue, Sep 22, 1998 8:42 AM RE: PCS Plus Transaction

I am going into a black out period for 48 hours. If it really falls apart (the brandishing of words and positioning is not helpful but is apart of this one) please get a message to me at the King Edward Hotel in Toronto (during working hours Merilla can reach me) Bob

```
> ---Original Message-
 > From:
                 Doug Hutcheson [SMTP:dough@qualcomm.com]
 > Sent:
                 Monday, September 21, 1998 7:17 PM
 > To: P68WA@aol.com; dough@qualcomm.com; rafercha@mobility.com
        Dhs@aterwynne.com; damandel@qualcomm.com
                 Re: PCS Plus Transaction
 > Subject:
 > John.
> Thank-you for your interpretation of my actions. I revert to my previous
> e-mail. When the two issues raised are addressed to our satisfaction we
> can
> proceed. Based on the timing of those actions we can revisit on what basis
> we will proceed.
> Doug
> At 05:08 PM 9/21/98 -0400, P68WA@aol.com wrote:
> >Clearly, you are looking for an excuse to withdraw from the transaction.
> you read what I said, regarding my availability to participate in
> anything is
> >directly related to GST, and what their desires are. They are the ones
> >initiated wanting their money back, and I am trying to accomodate them.
> But,
>>I am not going to be acused of failing to be available when I clearly AM,
> this
> >week when you asked for someone to be available.
  {}^{\mathrm{M}}_{\mathrm{c}} comments were specificially related to your seeking to blame me for
```

> >out of the country and being unavailable to meet with you whenever you

> >like it. As I said I am available this week, today, thru Thursday in

> Portland. After that I am out for a week.

CC:

> >

> being

'Dan Skerritt' < Dhs@aterwynne.com>, "Cerzosimo, Me...

ATER 001913 CONFIDENTIAL